

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/675,950 09/29/2000		09/29/2000	Jorgen Topp Jorgensen	6136.200-US	1104	
25908	7590	05/06/2004		EXAMINER		
		RTH AMERICA	WITZ, JEAN C			
500 FIFTH A SUITE 1600		3	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
NEW YORK		0110	1651			

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicat	on No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/675,9	50	JORGENSEN ET AL.				
Office Actio	n Summary	Examine	"	Art Unit				
		Jean C. \	Vitz	1651				
The MAILING DA Period for Reply	TE of this communication	appears on th	e cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>15 October 2003</u> .								
	Pa) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This action is non-final.							
3) Since this applica	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims	Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,10,12-14,17-28 and 32</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>12,13 and 25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8,14,15,17-24,26-28 and 32</u> is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:								
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).								
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
A 44								
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (DTO 802)		4) Interview Summan	W/PTO 412)				
	P10-892) ent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail D	Date				
3) Information Disclosure State	ment(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/			Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date U.S. Patent and Trademark Office	-		6)					
PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office	e Action Summa	ıry	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 0504				

Application/Control Number: 09/675,950

Art Unit: 1651

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 15, 2003 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to elected claims of record have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 14, 19-20, 23-24, and 26-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by WO 93/07260.

Application/Control Number: 09/675,950

Art Unit: 1651

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 11, 15, 17-18, 21, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 93/07260 in view of Barach et al.

WO 93/07260 discloses a spray-dried fermentation broth and process therefor which meets all the limitations recited in the claims cited in the rejection above. Claim 11 which recites the step of de-sludging the fermentation broth and claim 15 recites a physical treatment selected from the group consisting of heating, cooling, radiating, mixing, aerating and ultrasound treatment. Claims 17-18 and 32 recite the sterilization of the broth. Finally, claims 21-22 recited the specific type of atomizing devices.

Barach et al. teaches that it is conventional to submit fermentation broths to procedures such as claimed including desludging. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to treat the fermentation broth of the claims in a conventional manner for the purpose of improving the recovery of the microbial cell mass. Absent objective evidence to the contrary, sterilization is deemed well within the skill of the practitioner, particularly if the broth will ultimately be used for a food or medical product. Finally, absent objective evidence to the contrary, since the WO reference teaches that an atomizer is used in the production of the disclosed

Art Unit: 1651

product, the claimed atomizers are deemed conventional and a choice well within the skill of the practitioner.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean C. Witz whose telephone number is (571) 272-0927. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Wityshyn can be reached on (571) 272-0926. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner